

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark. Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|----------------------|---------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/043,645 | 01/10/2002 | Kannan Srinivasan | A-70839/DJB | 6048 |
| 32940 7 | 590 08/23/2006 | | EXAM | INER |
| DORSEY & WHITNEY LLP | | | LUDLOW, JAN M | |
| 555 CALIFOR | NIA STREET, SUITE 1 | 000 | | |
| SUITE 1000 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| SAN FRANCI | SCO, CA 94104 | | 1743 | |

DATE MAILED: 08/23/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | |
|---|---|---|--|--|--|
| Office Action Summary | | 10/043,645 | SRINIVASAN ET AL | | |
| | | Examiner | Art Unit | | |
| | | Jan M. Ludlow | 1743 | | |
| Period f | The MAILING DATE of this communication a or Reply | ppears on the cover sheet wi | th the correspondence address | | |
| WHIO - External afternal - If No - Faile Any | HORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING ensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR or SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. O period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period ure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by static reply received by the Office later than three months after the mained patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re- od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON tute, cause the application to become AB | CATION. reply be timely filed ITHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | |
| Status | | | | | |
| 1)⊠ | Responsive to communication(s) filed on 05 | June 2006. | | | |
| 2a)⊠ | This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final. | | | | |
| 3)[| Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is | | | | |
| | closed in accordance with the practice under | r <i>Ex parte Quayl</i> e, 1935 C.D | . 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | |
| Disposit | tion of Claims | | | | |
| 4)🛛 | Claim(s) 1-28 is/are pending in the application | on. | | | |
| | 4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>1-15,17 and 18</u> is/a | are withdrawn from consider | ation. | | |
| 5)[| Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | |
| | Claim(s) <u>16-26</u> is/are rejected. | | | | |
| | Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | | | |
| 8)[] | Claim(s) are subject to restriction and | /or election requirement. | | | |
| Applicat | tion Papers | | | | |
| 9)[| The specification is objected to by the Examin | ner. | | | |
| 10)⊠ | The drawing(s) filed on 10 January 2002 is/ar | re: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ ol | bjected to by the Examiner. | | |
| | Applicant may not request that any objection to the | | | | |
| 440 | Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre | | | | |
| 11) | The oath or declaration is objected to by the I | Examiner. Note the attached | Office Action or form PTO-152. | | |
| Priority (| under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | |
| | Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig ☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of: | gn priority under 35 U.S.C. § | 119(a)-(d) or (f). | | |
| , | 1. Certified copies of the priority document | nts have been received. | | | |
| | 2. Certified copies of the priority docume | | pplication No | | |
| | 3. Copies of the certified copies of the pri | | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | |
| | application from the International Bure | au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | | | |
| * (| See the attached detailed Office action for a lis | st of the certified copies not | received. | | |
| | | | | | |
| Attachmer | • • | " . | (DTO 116) | | |
| 1) Notic | ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 4) ∐ Interview S Paper No(s | ummary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date | | |
| 3) 🔲 Infor | mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 er No(s)/Mail Date | | nformal Patent Application (PTO-152) | | |

Application/Control Number: 10/043,645 Page 2

Art Unit: 1743

1. Applicant's election with traverse of claims 16-26 in the reply filed on June 5, 2006 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that there is no burden in searching plural inventions in the same class and subclass. This is not found persuasive because the different claimed features require different search terms in electronic searching and the concomitant analysis of different references for different issues of patentability.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
 - 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
 - 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.

Application/Control Number: 10/043,645

Art Unit: 1743

3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.

4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Page 3

- 4. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 5. Claims 16-26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Anderson Jr. et al US006468804B1.

Anderson teaches a housing 15, a source of electrolyte-containing eluent 10, electrodes 22, 23, a chromatographic column 14, injector 12 upstream of the column, a detector 21, barriers 26a,b and flow-through ion exchange medium 31. The flow connections and electrode positions are as claimed. The housing also constitutes a suppressor. By virtue of the recycle loop, the suppressor/purifier is both upstream and downstream of the column, the injector and the eluent source. See Figures 1 and 3.

- 6. Applicant's arguments filed February 13, 2006 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.
- 7. Applicant's arguments with respect to the rejection under 35 USC 112, first paragraph are persuasive.

Art Unit: 1743

- 8. Applicant argues that there is no eluent purifier upstream of the column in Anderson, but by virtue of the recycle loop, the suppressor/purifier is both upstream and downstream of the column, the injector and the eluent source. The suppressor meets all the structural limitations of the instant purifying channel and housing, as well as constituting a suppressor as in claim 26.
- 9. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jan M. Ludlow whose telephone number is (571) 272-1260. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday, 11:30 am - 8:00 pm.

Art Unit: 1743

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jill A. Warden can be reached on (571) 272-1267. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Jan M. Ludlow Primary Examiner Art Unit 1743

Jml August 20, 2006